

1 **Supplementary material**

2 **Title:** Herbivory, intraspecific trait variability and back to herbivory

3

4 **Table S1.** Model selected for PC1 of resident grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients of  
5 the model, and partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>.

Model (fixed part): $PC1 \sim species + herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.117$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>A. mendocina</i> : Herbivory=Light)	-0.75647	0.38948	617	-1.94225	0.0526	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	-0.02348	0.16406	617	-0.14315	0.8862	<0.001
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	0.73906	0.16874	617	4.37983	<0.0001	0.022
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	0.72044	0.18104	617	3.97936	0.0001	0.019
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	0.00219	0.16385	617	0.01339	0.9893	<0.001
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	0.34981	0.18188	617	1.92332	0.0549	0.004
Herbivory = Heavy	0.78329	0.13796	617	5.67776	<0.0001	0.065

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 **Table S2.** Model selected for  $N_{mass}$  of resident grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ , coefficients of  
 16 the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $N_{mass} \sim species + herbivory + species:herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.195$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	2.61686	0.08247	137	31.73020	<0.0001	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-0.70770	0.08513	137	-8.31298	<0.0001	0.166
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.07212	0.11971	61	-0.60240	0.5491	0.002
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.45297	0.14847	137	3.05100	0.0027	0.040
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy = 0	0.07212	0.11971		0.602	0.79442	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy = 0	-0.38085	0.09521		-4.000	0.00013	

17

18 **Table S3.** Model selected for SLA of resident grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ , coefficients of  
 19 the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $SLA \sim species + herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.529$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>A. mendocina</i> : Herbivory=Light)	19.31842	0.86091	631	22.43944	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	7.89648	0.34984	631	22.57195	<0.0001	0.328
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-0.15935	0.35426	631	-0.44981	0.653	<0.001
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	3.75351	0.38059	631	9.86223	<0.0001	0.104
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	-2.25758	0.34862	631	-6.47575	<0.0001	0.039
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	2.08060	0.38052	631	5.46782	<0.0001	0.030
Herbivory = Heavy	1.47194	0.25052	631	5.87548	<0.0001	0.052

20

21

22 **Table S4.** Model selected for LDMC of resident grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients  
23 of the model, and partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>.

Model (fixed part): <i>LDMC ~ species + herbivory</i> R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.549						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Species= <i>A. mendocina</i> : Herbivory=Light)	0.43598	0.00987	632	44.19182	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	-0.02189	0.00456	632	-4.79704	<0.0001	0.021
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-0.01117	0.00471	632	-2.36901	0.0181	0.007
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	-0.13027	0.00506	632	-25.73793	<0.0001	0.450
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	-0.04738	0.00457	632	-10.36210	<0.0001	0.095
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-0.07526	0.00503	632	-14.94594	<0.0001	0.195
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.00865	0.00424	632	-2.03976	0.0418	0.011

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 **Table S5.** Model selected for  $F_t$  of resident grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ , coefficients of the  
 36 model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $F_t \sim \text{species} + \text{herbivory} + \text{species:herbivory}$ $R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)} = 0.883$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	5.90499	0.18884	654	31.27025	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	-2.89690	0.18580	654	-15.59154	<0.0001	0.460
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-1.58205	0.22761	654	-6.95059	<0.0001	0.691
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	-4.36838	0.18959	654	-23.04091	<0.0001	0.171
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	-4.22699	0.17537	654	-24.10379	<0.0001	0.224
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-3.96527	0.18573	654	-21.34998	<0.0001	0.229
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.92676	0.24325	654	-3.80990	0.0002	0.043
Species= <i>G. paraguayensis</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.78577	0.25929	654	3.03053	0.0025	0.015
Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.15100	0.30584	654	0.49372	0.6217	0.001
Species= <i>S. pyramidatus</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.61547	0.25582	654	2.40583	0.0164	0.010
Species= <i>L. crinita</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.55406	0.24543	654	2.25757	0.0243	0.008
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.50672	0.25825	654	1.96214	0.0502	0.006
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>A. mendocina</i> :Heavy - <i>A. mendocina</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.92677	0.24325		-3.810	0.0008	
<i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Heavy - <i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.14099	0.10897		-1.294	0.7227	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.77576	0.19091		-4.064	0.0003	
<i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Heavy - <i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.31130	0.08966		-3.472	0.0031	
<i>L. crinita</i> :Heavy - <i>L. crinita</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.37270	0.06643		-5.611	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.42004	0.09773		-4.298	0.0001	

37

39 **Table S6.** Model selected for  $PC1_{(t=0)}$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 40 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $PC1_{(t=0)} \sim species + herbivory + species:herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.08$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	-0.72087	0.23464	451	-3.072267	0.0023	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	0.82801	0.33100	207	2.50156	0.0131	0.014
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	0.23283	0.32342	207	0.71988	0.4724	0.001
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	-0.00156	0.32942	207	-0.00473	0.9962	<0.001
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	0.62993	0.33332	207	1.88985	0.0602	0.008
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	0.46120	0.31793	207	1.45061	0.1484	0.005
Herbivory = Heavy	1.05525	0.35149	207	3.00219	0.0030	0.021
Species= <i>G. paraguayensis</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-1.75269	0.52422	207	-3.34343	0.0010	0.025
Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-0.31752	0.47609	207	-0.66695	0.5055	0.001
Species= <i>S. pyramidatus</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.26899	0.49078	207	0.54809	0.5842	0.001
Species= <i>L. crinita</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-1.04460	0.48631	207	-2.14799	0.0329	0.011
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-0.64132	0.47526	207	-1.34943	0.1787	0.005
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>A. mendocina</i> :Heavy - <i>A. mendocina</i> :Ligh = 0	1.05525	0.35150		3.002	0.01598	
<i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Heavy - <i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.69743	0.38892		-1.793	0.36515	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh = 0	0.73773	0.32111		2.297	0.12276	
<i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Heavy - <i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Ligh = 0	1.32425	0.34252		3.866	0.00066	
<i>L. crinita</i> :Heavy - <i>L. crinita</i> :Ligh = 0	0.01066	0.33608		0.032	1.00000	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh = 0	0.41393	0.31987		1.294	0.72919	

42

43 **Table S7.** Model selected for  $N_{mass}$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ , coefficients  
44 of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $N_{mass} \sim species$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.814$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> )	2.53743	0.05818	71	43.61221	<0.0001	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-1.19690	0.06853	71	-17.46570	<0.0001	0.814

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

60 **Table S8.** Model selected for  $SLA_{(t=0)}$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 61 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $SLA_{(t=0)} \sim species + herbivory + species:herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.582$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	19.52798	0.42231	451	46.24078	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	11.52269	0.79871	207	14.42666	<0.0001	0.393
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-0.08815	0.58094	207	-0.15173	0.8795	<0.001
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	0.13712	0.59560	207	0.23022	0.8181	<0.001
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	4.62158	0.63499	207	7.27818	<0.0001	0.092
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	4.11751	0.59742	207	6.89218	<0.0001	0.086
Herbivory = Heavy	2.50977	0.63011	207	3.98309	0.0001	0.027
Species= <i>G. paraguayensis</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-4.62552	1.25358	207	-3.68985	0.0003	0.040
Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-1.29854	0.84839	207	-1.53059	0.1274	0.004
Species= <i>S. pyramidatus</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-0.29009	0.87376	207	-0.33200	0.7402	<0.001
Species= <i>L. crinita</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-3.45599	0.91403	207	-3.78102	0.0002	0.027
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-2.35557	0.88596	207	-2.65878	0.0085	0.015
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>A. mendocina</i> :Heavy - <i>A. mendocina</i> :Ligh = 0	2.5098	0.6301		3.983	0.0004	
<i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Heavy - <i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Ligh = 0	-2.1158	1.0837		-1.952	0.2691	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh = 0	1.2112	0.5681		2.132	0.1824	
<i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Heavy - <i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Ligh = 0	2.2197	0.6053		3.667	0.0015	
<i>L. crinita</i> :Heavy - <i>L. crinita</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.9462	0.6621		-1.429	0.6307	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh = 0	0.1542	0.6228		0.248	0.9999	

64 **Table S9.** Model selected for  $LDMC_{(t=0)}$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 65 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $LDMC_{(t=0)} \sim species + herbivory + species:herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.388$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	0.42583	0.00601	450	70.83098	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	-0.02898	0.00793	207	-3.65426	0.0003	0.035
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-0.01634	0.00791	207	-2.06557	0.0401	0.012
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	-0.06715	0.00813	207	-8.26300	<0.0001	0.156
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	-0.06202	0.00766	207	-8.09374	<0.0001	0.138
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-0.02152	0.00755	207	-2.84948	0.0048	0.022
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.03040	0.00855	207	-3.55741	0.0005	0.035
Species= <i>G. paraguayensis</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.04139	0.01239	207	3.34164	0.0010	0.029
Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.01685	0.01119	207	1.50611	0.1336	0.007
Species= <i>S. pyramidatus</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.01244	0.01149	207	1.08301	0.2801	0.003
Species= <i>L. crinita</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.02631	0.01088	207	2.41706	0.0165	0.014
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.02452	0.01086	207	2.25698	0.0251	0.014
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>A. mendocina</i> :Heavy - <i>A. mendocina</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.030402	0.008546		-3.557	0.00225	
<i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Heavy - <i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Ligh = 0	0.010988	0.008965		1.226	0.77541	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.013554	0.007218		-1.878	0.31187	
<i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Heavy - <i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.017961	0.007676		-2.340	0.11028	
<i>L. crinita</i> :Heavy - <i>L. crinita</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.004094	0.006740		-0.607	0.99096	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.005885	0.006705		-0.878	0.94326	



68 **Table S10.** Model selected for  $F_{t(t=0)}$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 69 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $F_{t(t=0)} \sim \text{species} + \text{herbivory} + \text{species:herbivory}$ $R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)} = 0.778$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Light)	13.84230	0.48846	452	28.33894	<0.0001	
Species = <i>G. paraguayensis</i>	-8.71322	0.52102	207	-16.72323	<0.0001	0.503
Species = <i>N. lophostachya</i>	-5.36141	0.55598	207	-9.64316	<0.0001	0.289
Species = <i>S. pyramidatus</i>	-8.72674	0.52085	207	-16.75479	<0.0001	0.492
Species = <i>L. crinita</i>	-9.88949	0.50803	207	-19.46645	<0.0001	0.558
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-9.53230	0.50423	207	-18.90463	<0.0001	0.579
Herbivory = Heavy	-1.40838	0.68777	207	-2.04773	0.0418	0.023
Species= <i>G. paraguayensis</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	1.97847	0.75750	207	2.61182	0.0097	0.021
Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	0.91540	0.78082	207	1.17235	0.2424	0.006
Species= <i>S. pyramidatus</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-0.01037	0.73307	207	-0.01415	0.9887	<0.001
Species= <i>L. crinita</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	1.14702	0.71576	207	1.60252	0.1106	0.008
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	1.04732	0.71175	207	1.47147	0.1427	0.008
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>A. mendocina</i> :Heavy - <i>A. mendocina</i> :Ligh = 0	-1.4084	0.6878		-2.048	0.220	
<i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Heavy - <i>G. paraguayensis</i> :Ligh = 0	0.5701	0.3175		1.796	0.363	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :Heavy - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.4930	0.3697		-1.334	0.701	
<i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Heavy - <i>S. pyramidatus</i> :Ligh = 0	-1.4187	0.2537		-5.592	<0.0001	
<i>L. crinita</i> :Heavy - <i>L. crinita</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.2614	0.1982		-1.319	0.712	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :Heavy - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :Ligh = 0	-0.3611	0.1832		-1.971	0.259	

71

72 **Table S11.** Model selected for  $\Delta PC1$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 73 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta PC1 \sim species + treatment + species:treatment$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.608$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Treatment=C)	-1.76996	0.22637	117	-7.81890	<0.0001	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	5.26473	0.39023	73	13.49143	<0.0001	0.361
Treatment = PL	4.50913	0.49052	117	9.19249	<0.0001	0.272
Treatment = PS	6.89764	0.59743	117	11.54559	<0.0001	0.402
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PL	-2.66307	0.65028	117	-4.09527	0.0001	0.064
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PS	-4.40166	0.79327	117	-5.54877	<0.0001	0.137
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	4.5091	0.4905		9.192	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	6.8976	0.5974		11.546	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS = 0	-2.3885	0.7200		-3.317	0.0051	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	1.8461	0.4269		4.324	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	2.4960	0.5219		4.783	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS = 0	-0.6499	0.5272		-1.233	0.6732	

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 **Table S12.** Model selected for  $\Delta$ 'SLA of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 83 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta$ 'SLA ~ species + treatment + species:treatment						
$R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.538$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Treatment=C)	-0.08087	0.01581	119	-5.11645	<0.0001	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	0.36484	0.03136	74	11.63531	<0.0001	0.267
Treatment = PL	0.24416	0.02447	119	9.97667	<0.0001	0.130
Treatment = PS	0.54408	0.05089	119	10.69183	<0.0001	0.367
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PL	-0.14175	0.04702	119	-3.01486	0.0031	0.026
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PS	-0.32965	0.07036	119	-4.68540	<0.0001	0.108
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	0.24416	0.02447		9.977	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	0.54408	0.05089		10.692	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS = 0	-0.29992	0.05382		-5.573	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	0.10241	0.04015		2.551	0.054	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	0.21443	0.04859		4.413	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS = 0	-0.11202	0.05219		-2.146	0.148	

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 **Table S13.** Model selected for  $\Delta'$ LDMC of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 93 coefficients of the model, partial pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta'$ LDMC ~ species + treatment + species:treatment						
$R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.589$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Treatment=C)	0.16671	0.01490	118	11.19115	<0.0001	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-0.29908	0.02093	74	-14.29141	<0.0001	0.371
Treatment = PL	-0.23276	0.03528	118	-6.59842	<0.0001	0.244
Treatment = PS	-0.30036	0.04163	118	-7.21518	<0.0001	0.299
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PL	0.14048	0.04097	118	3.42841	0.0008	0.058
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PS	0.18206	0.04677	118	3.89290	0.0002	0.082
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	-0.23276	0.03528		-6.598	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	-0.30036	0.04163		-7.215	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS = 0	0.06760	0.05036		1.342	0.600	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	-0.09228	0.02085		-4.427	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	-0.11830	0.02131		-5.551	<0.0001	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS = 0	0.02602	0.02145		1.213	0.688	

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102 **Table S14.** Model selected for  $\Delta'F_t$  of descendant grasses. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ , coefficients  
 103 of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta'F_t \sim \text{species} + \text{treatment} + \text{species:treatment}$ $R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)} = 0.321$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{\text{GLMM}(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>N. lophostachya</i> : Treatment=C)	0.11236	0.05535	120	2.03011	0.0446	
Species = <i>L. pluriflora</i>	-0.23757	0.06417	74	-3.70236	0.0004	0.067
Treatment = PL	-0.45521	0.07764	120	-5.86328	<0.0001	0.199
Treatment = PS	-0.66486	0.09165	120	-7.25450	<0.0001	0.279
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PL	0.41235	0.08794	120	4.68902	<0.0001	0.094
Species= <i>L. pluriflora</i> : Treatment=PS	0.64579	0.10082	120	6.40511	<0.0001	0.174
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	-0.45521	0.07764		-5.863	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :C = 0	-0.66486	0.09165		-7.255	<0.0001	
<i>N. lophostachya</i> :PL - <i>N. lophostachya</i> :PS = 0	0.20966	0.09344		2.244	0.123	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	-0.04286	0.04130		-1.038	0.799	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :C = 0	-0.01907	0.04203		-0.454	0.988	
<i>L. pluriflora</i> :PL - <i>L. pluriflora</i> :PS = 0	-0.02379	0.04269		-0.557	0.975	

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111 **Table S15.** Model selected for PC1 of resident woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>,  
 112 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>.

Model (fixed part): <i>PC1 ~ position + herbivory</i> R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.111						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Position=High : Herbivory=Light)	-0.19532	0.11486	175	-1.70044	0.0908	
Position = Low	0.73294	0.08092	168	9.05788	<0.0001	0.090
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.36503	0.15561	175	-2.34582	0.0201	0.024

113

114 **Table S16.** Model selected for SLA of resident woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>,  
 115 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): <i>SLA ~ species + position + herbivory + species:position</i> R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.85						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Position=High : Herbivory=Light)	13.15224	0.34022	173	38.65793	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	9.56454	0.56359	173	16.97067	<0.0001	0.553
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-1.55890	0.38266	173	-4.07391	0.0001	0.034
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.92667	0.39478	173	-2.34728	0.0200	0.011
Position = Low	1.50199	0.36712	166	4.09133	0.0001	0.030
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.68190	0.23346	173	-2.92080	0.0040	0.026
Species= <i>S. gilliesii</i> : Position=Low	1.15315	0.70353	166	1.63909	0.1031	0.009
Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Position=Low	-0.73471	0.41149	166	-1.78550	0.0760	0.004
Species= <i>P. torquata</i> : Position=Low	-0.13632	0.42038	166	-0.32428	0.7461	<0.001
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>V. aroma</i> :High - <i>V. aroma</i> :Low = 0	-1.5020	0.3671		-4.091	0.0002	
<i>S. gilliesii</i> :High - <i>S. gilliesii</i> :Low = 0	-2.6551	0.6002		-4.424	<0.0001	
<i>P. flexuosa</i> :High - <i>P. flexuosa</i> :Low = 0	-0.7673	0.1858		-4.129	0.0001	
<i>P. torquata</i> :High - <i>P. torquata</i> :Low = 0	-1.3657	0.2048		-6.667	<0.0001	

116

117

118 **Table S17.** Model selected for  $N_{mass}$  of resident woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 119 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $N_{mass} \sim species + herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.824$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Herbivory=Light)	4.09428	0.04931	88	83.02447	<0.0001	
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-1.35582	0.05800	87	-23.37679	<0.0001	0.814
Herbivory = Heavy	0.21345	0.05764	87	3.70330	0.0004	0.098

120

121

122 **Table S18.** Model selected for LDMC of resident woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 123 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $LDMC \sim species + position$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.54$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Position=High)	0.46720	0.00429	173	108.94989	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.07717	0.00591	173	-13.05444	<0.0001	0.470
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.07332	0.00520	173	-14.10687	<0.0001	0.458
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.04473	0.00537	173	-8.32827	<0.0001	0.223
Position = Low	-0.00866	0.00172	172	-5.03298	<0.0001	0.022

124

125

126

127

128

129 **Table S19.** Model selected for  $PC1_{(t=0)}$  of descendant woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 130 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $PC1_{(t=0)} \sim herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.012$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Herbivory=Light)	0.14373	0.09950	372	1.44449	0.1494	
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.27825	0.13624	137	-2.04241	0.0430	0.012

131

132 **Table S20.** Model selected for  $LDMC_{(t=0)}$  of descendant woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo-  
 133  $R^2$ , coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $LDMC_{(t=0)} \sim species + herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.226$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Light)	0.43053	0.00538	372	79.97502	<0.0001	
Species= <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.00065	0.00740	134	-0.08772	0.9302	<0.001
Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.05187	0.00641	134	-8.09502	<0.0001	0.173
Species= <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.02765	0.00668	134	-4.13871	0.0001	0.051
Herbivory = Heavy	0.01090	0.00486	134	2.24236	0.0266	0.016

134

135

136

137

138

139



140

141 **Table S21.** Model selected for  $SLA_{(t=0)}$  of descendant woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 142 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $SLA_{(t=0)} \sim species + herbivory + species:herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.519$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Light)	19.63959	0.42389	372	46.33125	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	0.69918	0.71528	131	0.97749	0.3301	0.003
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-4.52843	0.56416	131	-8.02691	<0.0001	0.181
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-3.06054	0.51572	131	-5.93444	<0.0001	0.079
Herbivory = Heavy	0.84881	0.56534	131	1.50141	0.1357	0.007
Species= <i>S. gilliesii</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-0.38271	0.92370	131	-0.41433	0.6793	0.001
Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-2.19964	0.77567	131	-2.83579	0.0053	0.025
Species= <i>P. torquata</i> : Herbivory=Heavy	-1.51331	0.70346	131	-2.15125	0.0333	0.011
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
<i>V. aroma</i> :Heavy - <i>V. aroma</i> :Light = 0	0.8488	0.5653		1.501	0.4356	
<i>S. gilliesii</i> :Heavy - <i>S. gilliesii</i> :Light = 0	0.4661	0.7305		0.638	0.9484	
<i>P. flexuosa</i> :Heavy - <i>P. flexuosa</i> :Light = 0	-1.3508	0.5311		-2.544	0.0432	
<i>P. torquata</i> :Heavy - <i>P. torquata</i> :Light = 0	-0.6645	0.4186		-1.587	0.3794	

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151 **Table S22.** Model selected for  $N_{mass}$  of descendant woody legumes. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 152 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $N_{mass} \sim species + herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.305$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Herbivory=Light)	3.30953	0.08967	71	36.90648	<0.0001	
Species= <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.48453	0.09173	71	-5.28191	<0.0001	0.266
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.22552	0.08757	71	-2.57530	0.0121	0.073

153

154

155 **Table S23.** Model selected for  $\Delta PC1$  of *P. torquata* descendants. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 156 coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo- $R^2$ .

Model (fixed part): $\Delta PC1 \sim herbivory$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.083$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Herbivory=Light)	0.74254	0.37055	43	2.00391	0.0514	
Herbivory = Heavy	1.11701	0.53637	32	2.08256	0.0454	0.083

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 **Table S24.** Model selected for  $\Delta$ 'SLA of *P. torquata* descendants. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 165 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta$ 'SLA ~ treatment						
$R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.035$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Treatment=C)	0.28469	0.03235	44	8.79919	<0.0001	
Treatment = PL	-0.08119	0.03979	44	-2.04029	0.0474	0.035
Treatment = PS	-0.04501	0.03121	44	-1.44206	0.1564	0.011
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
PL - C = 0	-0.08119	0.03979		-2.040	0.101	
PS - C = 0	-0.04501	0.03121		-1.442	0.315	
PS - PL = 0	0.03618	0.03333		1.086	0.519	

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178 **Table S25.** Model selected for  $\Delta'$ LDMC of *P. torquata* descendants. Fixed part, overall pseudo- $R^2$ ,  
 179 coefficients of the model, partials pseudo- $R^2$ , and contrasts.

Model (fixed part): $\Delta'$ LDMC ~ herbivory + treatment + herbivory:treatment						
$R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.155$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Herbivory=Light : Treatment=C)	0.09311	0.05771	39	1.61336	0.1147	
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.12314	0.08020	32	-1.53546	0.1345	0.042
Treatment = PL	-0.05943	0.05700	39	-1.04259	0.3036	0.010
Treatment = PS	0.03327	0.07121	39	0.46723	0.6429	0.003
Herbivory=Heavy : Treatment=PL	0.07682	0.07898	39	0.97262	0.3367	0.009
Herbivory=Heavy : Treatment=PS	-0.08031	0.08977	39	-0.89459	0.3765	0.010
Contrasts	Value	Std. Error		z-value	Pr(> z )	
Light:PL - Light:C = 0	-0.05943	0.05700		-1.043	0.8676	
Light:PS - Light:C = 0	0.03327	0.07121		0.467	0.9958	
Light:PS - Light:PL = 0	0.09270	0.05161		1.796	0.3851	
Heavy:PL - Heavy:C = 0	0.01739	0.05467		0.318	0.9993	
Heavy:PS - Heavy:C = 0	-0.04704	0.05467		-0.860	0.9368	
Heavy:PS - Heavy:PL = 0	-0.06442	0.02917		-2.208	0.1744	
Heavy:C - Light:C = 0	-0.12314	0.08020		-1.535	0.5611	
Heavy:PL - Light:PL = 0	-0.04633	0.04489		-1.032	0.8723	
Heavy:PS - Light:PS = 0	-0.20345	0.06120		-3.324	0.0074	

180

181

182

183

184

185

186 **Table S26.** Model selected for the length of spines (SL) of resident woody legumes. Fixed part,  
 187 overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>. SL (plus one) was log10 transformed  
 188 previous to analysed.

Model (fixed part): $SL \sim herbivory + position + species + species:position + herbivory:position$ $R^2_{GLMM(m)} = 0.174$						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	$R^2_{GLMM(m)}$
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Light : Position=High)	0.72147	0.03999	174	18.03966	<0.0001	
Herbivory = Heavy	0.09523	0.03692	174	2.57924	0.0107	0.018
Position = Low	0.04487	0.04291	170	1.04571	0.2972	0.002
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.03549	0.05016	174	-0.70741	0.4803	0.001
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.16017	0.05452	174	-2.93801	0.0038	0.026
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	0.02159	0.05083	174	0.42470	0.6716	<0.001
Species= <i>S. gilliesii</i> : Position=Low	-0.07048	0.05352	170	-1.31679	0.1897	0.002
Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Position=Low	0.12859	0.06262	170	2.05350	0.0416	0.008
Species= <i>P. torquata</i> : Position=Low	-0.05645	0.05451	170	-1.03566	0.3018	0.002
Herbivory=Heavy : Position=Low	0.12281	0.04044	170	3.03654	0.0028	0.015

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198 **Table S27.** Model selected for the length of spines (SL) of descendant woody legumes. Fixed part,  
 199 overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients of the model, partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, and contrasts. SL (plus one) was log10  
 200 transformed previous to analysed.

Model (fixed part): $SL \sim species + herbivory$ R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.396						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Ligh)	0.70168	0.01741	370	40.30724	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.21929	0.02641	134	-8.30162	<0.0001	0.193
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.16689	0.02055	134	-8.12287	<0.0001	0.162
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	0.07375	0.02144	134	3.44072	0.0008	0.033
Herbivory = Heavy	0.05209	0.01597	134	3.26105	0.0014	0.031

201

202 **Table S28.** Model selected for the average area per leaf (LA) of resident woody legumes. Fixed part,  
 203 overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients of the model, and partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>. LA was log10 transformed previous  
 204 to analysed.

Model (fixed part): $LA \sim species + herbivory + position + species:position$ R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.675						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Light : Position=High)	2.96165	0.02857	173	103.64934	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.35904	0.03356	173	-10.69880	<0.0001	0.226
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.24110	0.03594	173	-6.70799	<0.0001	0.537
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.71531	0.03731	173	-19.17263	<0.0001	0.124
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.03332	0.02039	173	-1.63395	0.1041	0.011
Position = Low	-0.04135	0.02954	167	-1.39962	0.1635	0.004
Species= <i>S. gilliesii</i> : Position=Low	0.11798	0.03452	167	3.41739	0.0008	0.016
Species= <i>P. flexuosa</i> : Position=Low	0.04541	0.03999	167	1.13552	0.2578	0.003
Species= <i>P. torquata</i> : Position=Low	0.12170	0.04132	167	2.94493	0.0037	0.017

205

206

207 **Table S29.** Model selected for the average area per leaf (LA) of descendant woody legumes. Fixed  
208 part, overall pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, coefficients of the model, partials pseudo-R<sup>2</sup>, and contrasts. LA was log10  
209 transformed previous to analysed.

Model (fixed part): <i>LA ~ species + herbivory</i> R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub> = 0.689						
Terms	Value	Std. Error	d.f.	t-value	p-value	R <sup>2</sup> <sub>GLMM(m)</sub>
Intercept (Species= <i>V. aroma</i> : Herbivory=Ligh)	2.72782	0.01916	372	142.38231	<0.0001	
Species = <i>S. gilliesii</i>	-0.66670	0.02684	134	-24.83957	<0.0001	0.662
Species = <i>P. flexuosa</i>	-0.17497	0.02312	134	-7.56712	<0.0001	0.158
Species = <i>P. torquata</i>	-0.37100	0.02195	134	-16.90345	<0.0001	0.434
Herbivory = Heavy	-0.03560	0.01654	134	-2.15280	0.0331	0.013

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222 **Table S30.** Mean and Standard Deviation (SD) of the soil properties from each history of herbivory  
 223 (Light and Heavy). In bold, the soil properties where significant differences (p-value<0.05) were found  
 224 after Hommel (1988) correction.

225

Soil properties	History of Herbivory			
	Light (n=10)		Heavy (n=12)	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
pH	6.757	0.2962	6.891	0.2115
Conductivity ( $\mu\text{S}$ )	148.2	51.885	125.3	44.751
Superficial compaction ( $\text{kg cm}^{-2}$ )	2.059	0.7568	2.935	0.7709
<b>Compaction 0 - 5cm depth (<math>\text{kg cm}^{-2}</math>)</b>	<b>9.899</b>	<b>3.8936</b>	<b>16.34</b>	<b>4.6564</b>
Compaction 5 - 10cm depth ( $\text{kg cm}^{-2}$ )	24.91	5.9442	32.47	10.279
Water content 3' depth (%)	4.864	0.6709	5.544	1.6188
<b>Organic mater (% W/W)</b>	<b>3.251</b>	<b>0.7513</b>	<b>2.204</b>	<b>0.4626</b>
Nitrogen content ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ )	0.273	0.0653	0.204	0.0510
$\text{PO}_4^{2-}$ ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	31.90	6.5858	27.72	6.8121
$\text{SiO}_4^{2-}$ ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	247.5	56.684	288.9	61.754
$\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ( $\text{meq } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	12.90	2.8655	11.71	3.5385
$\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ( $\text{meq } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	1.850	0.7472	1.583	0.9495
$\text{Na}^{+}$ ( $\text{meq } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	1.116	0.2730	1.208	0.3832
$\text{K}^{+}$ ( $\text{meq } 100\text{g}^{-1}$ )	0.072	0.0230	0.087	0.0359

226